#### ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE REPORTING EXHIBIT 2B RESERVE STUDY

1. PROVIDE A GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTUARIAL METHODOLOGIES USED TO DETERMINE AND MONITOR CARRIED LOSS AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE RESERVES FOR THE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE BUSINESS WRITTEN, INCLUDING FREQUENCY OF REVIEWS.

PICA's internal actuary monitors carried reserves on a quarterly basis and communicates findings to the independent opining actuary. At year end, the independent opining actuary performs his own analysis to make sure that carried reserves are appropriate. Both actuaries are Fellows of the Casualty Actuarial Society and perform their duties using sound actuarial procedures including the utilization of four methodologies in the estimation of loss and loss expense reserves.

2. DISCUSS THE ADEQUACY OF MEDICAL MALPRACTICE LOSS AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE RESERVES AS OF THE MOST RECENT YEAR-END AND IDENTIFY AND DESCRIBE ANY MATERIAL CHANGES IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS IN AMOUNTS OF CARRIED RESERVES AND IN RESERVING METHODS. IF A MATERIAL UNFAVORABLE TREND EXISTS, INDICATE WHAT ACTIONS WERE TAKEN TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE. IDENTIFY THE MATERIALITY STANDARD USED TO RESPOND TO THIS QUESTION AND PROVIDE THE BASIS FOR THIS STANDARD.

Both PICA's independent opining actuary and actuaries for PICA's independent auditor have determined that PICA's carried reserves at year end 2006 are adequate. No material changes have occurred the last five years in regards to reserving methods.

3. COMPARE COMPANY TRENDS TO INDUSTRY TRENDS, WITH REGARDS TO THE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE LINE OF BUSINESS AND INCLUDE INFORMATION ABOUT THE SPECIFIC BUSINESS WRITTEN BY THE COMPANY AND, IF NECESSARY, REASONS WHY COMPANY TRENDS ARE DIFFERENT FROM THE INDUSTRY.

PICA's loss and loss adjustment expense trends are consistent with the medical malpractice industry as a whole. Like other companies, PICA is observing a slightly negative frequency trend and a small positive severity trend. The combined loss cost trend is slightly positive but lower than that of most medical malpractice companies due to PICA's lower exposure to claims resulting from catastrophic medical errors.

#### ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE REPORTING EXHIBIT 2B SURPLUS STUDY

1. PROVIDE A GENERAL DISCUSSION REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF SURPLUS REPORTED ON ANNUAL STATEMENT, PAGE 3 (LIABILITIES, SURPLUS AND OTHER FUNDS), LINE 35, SURPLUS AS REGARDS POLICYHOLDERS, AS OF THE LAST YEAR-END.

Surplus increased \$11.6 million (19.1%) due primarily to \$8.6 million in net income and \$1.7 million decrease in non-admitted assets primarily deferred tax asset and EDP equipment and software. The non-admitted assets continue to decrease as the larger software items are amortized.

PICA's total adjusted capital of \$72.6 million, compared with its RBC requirement of \$11.9 million is well above the required minimum.

2. IDENTIFY AND DESCRIBE ANY MATERIAL EVENTS OR KNOWN MATERIAL TRENDS, FAVORABLE OR UNFAVORABLE, IN THE INSURER'S SURPLUS ACCOUNT IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS. THE DESCRIPTION SHOULD INCLUDE ANY SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN THE SURPLUS RATIOS SHOWN ON EXHIBIT A. IF A MATERIAL UNFAVORABLE TREND EXISTS, INDICATE THE COURSES OF REMEDIAL ACTIONS ALREADY TAKEN OR THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO THE INSURER AND THE EFFECTS OR POTENTIAL EFFECTS OF EACH. IDENTIFY THE MATERIALITY STANDARD USED TO RESPOND TO THIS ITEM AND PROVIDE THE BASIS FOR THIS STANDARD.

There have been no material events or known material trends, favorable or unfavorable, in the company's surplus account in the past five years.

#### ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE REPORTING

THE CONSULTING ACTUARIAL REPORT AND DATA SUPPORTING THE COMPANY'S RATE FILING SHALL BE INCLUDED IN FILE 4, AS REFERENCED IN APPENDIX B. EACH COMPANY SHALL FILE THE ACTUARIAL REPORT PROVIDING JUSTIFICATION AND DATA SUPPORTING THE MOST RECENT MEDICAL MALPRACTICE RATE FILING.

Attached is a copy of PICA's most recent Illinois rate filing which became effective in April 2007. In the State of Illinois, the company writes medical malpractice coverage for podiatrists only; therefore, the attached filing contains actuarial data specific to the podiatric specialty.



February 9, 2007

Ms. Gayle Neuman Itimois Department of Insurance 320 West Washington Street Springfield, Illinois 62767



Podiatry Insurance Company of America a Mutual Company NAIC Group# 3504 - NAIC Company# 14460 - PEIN# 58-1403235 tune 11.3-Medical Malpractice-Claims Made Only Podiatrist Professional Liability Program 2007 Revised Rate Filing w/currently approved rules Company Filing Number. 11.758-P Proposed Effective Date: April 1, 2007

DIVISION OF INSURANCE STATE OF ILLINOIS/DEPR FRIENLISS CO

APR 0 1 2007

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

Dear Ms. Nauman

We are submitting for your approval revisions to the currently approved rates for the above referenced program. The last revision of the rates currently approved for the program were approved under SERFI-Tracking SERT-6FPRJK486/00-00/00-00/00 with an effective date of 4/1/2006. The currently approved rules for the program were approved under SERFF Tracking SERT-6FPRJK486/00-00/00-00/00 with an implementation date of 2/1/2007. We currently have a revised rule filling, IL-823-P, pending your approval which includes adding a group discount and minor revisions to the extended reporting period coverage as requested by your department. There are no additional revisions to the rules being proposed under this filling.

With this filing, we are requesting a rate change of -5.0%. Our request is based upon the attached exhibits prepared by our Chief Actuary. John E. Daniel, FCAS, MAAA, whose Actuarial Memorandum is also enclosed to provide a more detailed explanation with respect to the materials being filled.

In addition, we are submitting the following

- Two copies of the Cover Letter and a postage paid self-addressed return envelope for the return
  of one copy of the Cover Letter with your stamp of approval
- For your advisement, we are including a copy of the currently approved PICA Podiatic Rating
  Manual Ed. 1-05 and filmois supplement. All changes have been effected via the Illinois Rating
  Manual Supplement. The currently approved Illinois supplement is £d. 1-07 (effective 2/1/2007).
- We affirm that the Company does not unfairly discriminate in offering, administering, or applying
  the filed rating manual and/or any amended provisions. Cortification by a company officer is
  included
- The Illinois Dua! Certification as required.
- Two copies of Illinois Form RF-3 as required.
- Proposed 2007 Rates for each Illinois Territory
- 2006 Rates for each Illinois Territory with all revisions marked.
- A completed Illinois Review Requirements Checklist

Page -2-Ms. Gayle Neuman Illinois Department of Insurance February 9, 2007

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any additional information. Your review and consideration is appreciated.

Sincerely.

Brenda P. Coraughord

Regulatory Affairs Coordinator 1-800-251-5727 Ext. 2150

Enclosures

<u>ILLINOIS FORM RF-3</u> 50 H! Adm, Code 754 Exhibit A : § 751, Exhibit A Summary shed		orm RF-3)		
SUMMARY SHELT	() () () () () () ()			
), hange in Cumpany's premium or	rate lovel produc	ed by eac revision effective.		April 1, 2007
(1) Coverage		(2) Annual Premium Volume (filinois)*	(3) Percent Change (	-1 ac - ja*
<ul> <li>Automobile Liability Private         <ul> <li>Passenger</li> <li>Commercial</li> </ul> </li> <li>Automobile Physical Damage         <ul> <li>Private Passenger</li> <li>Commercial</li> </ul> </li> <li>Liability Other Than Auto         <ul> <li>Burelary and Theft</li> </ul> </li> <li>Glass</li> <li>Lidelary</li> <li>Surety</li> <li>Boiler and Machinery</li> <li>Fire</li> </ul> <li>Pixtended Coverage</li> <li>Intand Marine</li> <li>Homeowners</li> <li>Commercial Multi-Peril</li> <li>Crop Hari</li> <li>Worker's Compensation</li> <li>Other: Page 2 Mal macing Lanc of Insurance</li>		\$6,521,838 (2005 Direct Writ	llen Premium)	-5%
Does filing only apply to certain illinois and Podiatric rates only.	lerritory (territori	os) or certain classes? [[sn, s	pecify: <u>This</u>	filing applies to all Lerritories in
Brief description of filing, (If fil reguesting nj-5% degr <u>ease in Po</u> d)			pecify organizatio	n) This <u>is a r</u> ovised rate filing
Adjusted to reflect all prior rate **Change in Company's premiu		fresult from application of ne	ew rates	
Nat	ne of Company	Podiatry Insulance ( ompar	і <u>у аў А</u> теп <u>са а М</u>	futual ( <u>orani</u> my
Off	icial – Title	John E. Daniel Idlin E. Daniel, ECAS MA Chief Actiony	ΛΛ.	
Authority Implementing Artic Insurance Code (III, Rev. Stat. 1 by Section 401(a) of the Illinois	989, ch. 73, par 7.	35A) and authorized		DIVISION OF INSURANCE STATE OF ILLINOIS/IDEPR

ch 73, par. (013)

HISTORY | Filed September 9, 1975, effective September 30, 1975, endified at 7 III | Reg. 3458

CROSS REFERENCE, 215 ILCS 5/401

FEB 1 4 2007

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

DOCUMENT ID AL REGS RG 50 ffl. Adm. Code 754 Exhibit A

#### Brenda Crawford

From: Neuman, Gayle [Gayle Neuman@lllinois.gov]

Sent: Thursday, May 03, 2007 2:30 PM

To: Brenda Crawford

Subject: RE: PICA Rate Filing # IL-758-P

I printed it out, and walked down to Pam's office - it has been inserted in the red file.

From: Brenda Crawford [mailto:BCrawford@picagroup.com]

Sent: Thursday, May 03, 2007 11:55 AM

**To:** Anderson, Julie **Cc:** Neuman, Gayle

Subject: RE: PICA Rate Filing # II.-758-P

Ms Anderson,

Thanks for noticing this decrepancy. We are requesting a 5% decrease and have corrected our April 11, 2007 response letter. The maximum decrease for a single policy remains at \$1,627.

Thanks,
Brenda Crawford
Regulatory Affairs Coordinator
The PICA Group
110 Westwood Place
Brentwood, TN 37027

Phone: 615-371-8776 Ext. 2150

Fax: 615-370-4803

----Original Message-----

From: Anderson, Julie [mailto:Julie.A.Anderson2@illinois.gov]

Sent: Thursday, May 03, 2007 9:24 AM

**To:** Brenda Crawford **Cc:** Neuman, Gayle

Subject: RE: PICA Rate Filing # IL-758-P

Ms. Crawford,

We notice in Item 8 of your response that it states that all policies will receive the same 5% increase Should that say decrease since this filing has a proposed effect of -5%?

Thank you.

Julie Anderson, ACAS, MAAA Associate Casualty Actuary IL Dept of Financial and Professional Regulation Division of Insurance Phone: 217-524-6421

Fax: 217-524-2271

This email message, including any attachments, is intended for the sole use of the addressee and it contains information that may be confidential, privileged and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable laws. Additionally, this email may contain information that is prohibited from disclosure by the Illinois insurance Code 215 II.CS 5/101 et seq and any unauthorized disclosure may result in civil forfeitures or criminal penalties. This email is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message, Julie.A.Anderson2@illinois.gov, and then delete it from your system. Use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful

From: Brenda Crawford [mailto:BCrawford@picagroup.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2007 6:20 PM

To: Anderson, Julie Cc: Neuman, Gayle

Subject: RE: PICA Rate Filing # IL-758-P

Ms Anderson,

Attached please find our letter of response and supporting exhibits as prepared by Mr. John E. Daniel, our Chief Actuary

Please let us know if you have any other questions. We appreciate your continued review

Brenda Crawford
Regulatory Affairs Coordinator
The PICA Group
110 Westwood Place
Brentwood, FN 37027

Phone: 615-371-8776 Ext. 2150

Fax: 615-370-4803

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Anderson, Julie [mailto:Julie.A.Anderson2@illinois.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 02, 2007 3:06 PM

To: Brenda Crawford Cc: Neuman, Gayle

Subject: PICA Rate Filing # IL-758-P

Ms. Crawford,

I have conducted my initial review of the above referenced rate filling. Before I can complete my review, I have a few questions/items needing more information as follows.

- Please provide actuarial support for the General Administrative Expense ratio shown in Table
   1.
- The General Administrative Expense ratio has decreased dramatically from the last rate change. Please explain.
- 3. In reference to question 2, does PICA utilize methods to prevent sharp fluctuations from one year to another, such as averaging multiple years' ratios?
- 4. Please provide actuarial support for the profit and contingencies load of 5% shown in Table 1
- 5. Please provide actuarial support for the investment income percentage shown in Table 1.
- 6. How many policies currently receive a schedule rating credit? How many receive debits?
- How many policies receiving credits or debits currently receive the maximum?

- 8. What is the maximum increase and decrease to a given policyholder as a result of this change? Please provide both a percentage and a dollar amount.
- 9. What is the average premium charged to a policyholder for this program?

Thank you. Please provide your answers directly to me by April 16, 2007.

Have a great dayl.

Julie Anderson, ACAS
Associate Casualty Actuary
II. Dept of Financial and Professional Regulation
Division of Insurance
Phone 217-524-5421
Fax: 217-524-2271

This email message, including any attachments, is intended for the sole use of the addrossee and it contains information that may be confidential, privileged and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable laws. Additionally, this email may contain information that is prohibited from disclosure by the Illinois Insurance Code 215 ILCS 5/101 of seq and any unauthorized disclosure may result in civil forfeitures or criminal penalties. This email is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message, Julie A Anderson2@illinois.gov, and then delete it from your system. Use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.

Disclaimer. This electronic message may contain information that is Confidential or logally privileged, it is intended only for the use of the individual(s) and entity named in the message. If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender immediately and delete the material from your computer. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this message and do not disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.



April 11, 2007

Julie Anderson, ACAS
Associate Casualty Actuary
Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation
Division of Insurance
320 West Washington Street
Springfield, Illinois 62767

Re: Company Rate Filing # IL-758-P

Dear Ms. Anderson

This letter is written in response to your inquiry of 4/2/2007. The various items you requested are listed below.

<u>Item 1):</u> Actuarial support for the General Administrative Support ratio is provided in the attached Appendix, Exhibit 1

Item 2): The reason for the drop in the General Administrative Expense ratio is the shift of the OUM business formerly assumed under a 50% quota share agreement from Gulf. Insurance Company to Direct business. We are providing the same administrative and claims adjusting services but no longer splitting the premium.

<u>Item 3</u>) PICA has historically used averages over several years but does not believe that this is appropriate any longer due to the increase in Direct promium mentioned above.

<u>Item 4</u>): The profit and contingencies load shown in Table 1 is not derived actuarially. It is selected by PICA management to achieve a combined ratio of 95%. PICA management believes that this ratio is appropriate for maintaining the solvency of the company.

<u>Item 5</u>) Actuarial support for the investment income percentage shown in Table 1 can be found in the attached Appendix, Exhibit 2

<u>Item 6</u>): Under a separate pending rule filing (IL-823-P), PICA has filed a group discount rule. This discount was previously applied under the schedule rating rule which has now been removed from the PICA Pod Rating Manual effective 2/1/2007. As of 4/1/2007, PICA has 27 policyholders receiving this group discount. As per the proposed rule 9% will be the maximum group discount. There are no policyholders receiving a debit.

Item 7). As stated in item 6., PICA has removed schedule rating from the PICA Pod Rating Manual but requested the inclusion of a group discount rule. The group discount is the only use/application of a credit under the previous schedule rating rule. Under the previous schedule rating provision, there was reference to a combined maximum credit or debit of 25%; however, the maximum credit (discount) will be 9% for the group discount.

Item 8): All policies will receive the same 5% decrease. The maximum decrease in dollars for a single policy is \$1,627.

Item 9) The average premium charged is \$9,439.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Sincerely, John E. Daniel John E. Daniel, FCAS, MAAA Chief Actuary, The PICA Group

### PODIATRY INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA PODIATRIC MEDICAL MALPRACTICE ILLINOIS Appendix, Exhibit 1

# DERIVATION OF COUNTRY WIDE EXPENSE LOADINGS

## A. DOLLARS OF EXPENSE

				Selected	Loadings	17,52%	5.75%
Total	49,958,351	15,449,542	209,289,173		Total	23,87%	7 38%
2005	10,515,632	3,939,008	59,953,964		2005	17.54%	6.57%
2004	12 310,795	3,544,681	50,341,950		2CD4	24.17%	7 15%
2003	9,504,460	2 702,319	42,120,745		2003	22.55%	6.42%
2002	11,429,557	2,853,452	31 277,508		2002	35.54%	9.14%
2001	6,197,817	2,305,172	24,995,093		2001	24 80%	9.22%
	<ol> <li>General Administrative Expense</li> </ol>	(2) ULAE	(3) Direct Earned Pramium	B. EXPENSE LOADING		(4) General Administrative Expense	(6) ULAE

Notes:	
£)	From PICA's Annual Statements.
<u>ର</u>	From PICA's Aanual Statements.
<u>(C)</u>	From PICA's Anoual Statements.
€	= (1)Y(3)
බ	= (2)/(3)
Selected	Selected Expense Loadings reflect the shift of OLIM premium from Assumed to Direct for 2008

Appendix, Exhibit 2

#### ESTIMATION OF INVESTMENT INCOME

(,, =				Discounted
	Paid	Cumulative	Incremental	Incremental
	Loss + Al.AE	Percent	Percent	Percent
Year of	Development	of Losses	of Losses	of Losses
Payment	Factor	Pald	Paid _	Paid
(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	10.033	9 97%	9.97%	9.73%
2	2,148	46 55%	36.59%	34.01%
3	1,327	75.36%	28 80%	25.50%
4	1 117	89.53%	14.17%	11.94%

95.33%

99,40%

100 00%

100 00%

100.00%

5,0%

1 049

1.006

1,000

1 000

1,000

(1) Discount Rate =

5

ß

7

8

9

		100.00%	89,38%
(7) (8) (9)	Investment Income as Percent Expected Loss Ratio = Investment Income as Percent		10 62% 55 80% 5.93%
	Investment Income Offset =	511 TOTAL	-5.93%

5,80%

4.07%

0.60%

0.00%

0.00%

4,66%

3 12%

0.43%

0,00%

0.00%

Notes.		
	(3)	Cumulative factors from Appendix, Exhibit 5.
	(4)	= 100,00% / (3)
	(5)	= [(4) - (4) for prior year]
}	(6)	= (5) / {[100.00% + (1)] ^ (2 - 0 5)}
	(7)	= Total (5) - Total (6)
	(8)	From Column (4) of Appendix, Exhibit 9
	(9)	= (7) * (8)
	(10)	= Additive Inverse of (9)

From: Anderson, Julie [Julie.A.Anderson2@illinois gov]

Sent: Monday, April 02, 2007 3.06 PM

To: Brenda Crawford Ce: Neuman, Gayle

Subject: PICA Rate Filing # IL-758-P.

Ms. Crawford,

I have conducted my initial review of the above referenced rate filing. Before I can complete my review, I have a few questions/items needing more information as follows:

- 1 Please provide actuarial support for the General Administrative Expense ratio shown in Table 1.
- The General Administrative Expense ratio has decreased dramatically from the last rate change. Please explain.
- 3. In reference to question 2, does PICA utilize methods to prevent sharp fluctuations from one year to another, such as averaging multiple years) ratios?
- Please provide actuarial support for the profit and contingencies load of 5% shown in Table 1.
- 5. Please provide actuarial support for the investment income percentage shown in Table 1.
- 6. How many policies currently receive a schedule rating credit? How many receive debits?
- 7. How many policies receiving credits or debits currently receive the maximum?
- What is the maximum increase and decrease to a given policyholder as a result of this change? Please provide both a percentage and a dollar amount.
- What is the average premium charged to a policyholder for this program?

Thank you. Please provide your answers directly to me by April 16, 2007.

Have a great day!

Julie Anderson, ACAS
Associate Casualty Actuary
IL Dept of Financial and Professional Regulation
Division of Insurance

Phone: 217-524-5421 Fax: 217-524-2271

This email message, including any attachments, is intended for the sole use of the addressee and it contains information that may be confidential, privileged and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable laws. Additionally, this email may contain information that is prohibited from disclosure by the Illinois Insurance Code 215 ILCS 5/101 et seq and any unauthorized disclosure may result in civil forfeitures or criminal penalties. This email is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message, Julie.A.Anderson2@illinois.gov, and then delete it from your system. Use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.



May 22, 2007 (1/6 1/ 11)

Ms. Gayle Neuman Illinois Department of Insurance 320 West Washington Street Springfield Illinois 62767

RE: Podiatry Insurance Company of America a Mutual Company

NAIC Group# 3504 - NAIC Company# 14460 - FEIN# 58-1403235

Line 11.1-Medical Malpractice-Claims Made Only

Podiatrist Professional Liability Program

2007 Revised Rate Filing w/currently approved rules

Company Filing Number: IL-758-P Proposed Effective Date | April 1, 2007

#### Dear Ms. Neuman:

We are submitting for your approval revisions to the currently approved rates for the above referenced program. The last revision of the rates currently approved for the program were approved under SERFF Tracking SERT-6FPRJK486/00-00/00-00/00 with an effective date of 4/1/2006. The currently approved rules for the program were approved under SERFF Tracking SERT-6FPRJK486/00-00/00-00/00 with an implementation date of 2/1/2007. We currently have a revised rule filling IL-823-P, pending your approval which includes adding a group discount and minor revisions to the extended reporting period coverage as requested by your department. There are no additional revisions to the rules being proposed under this filling.

With this filling, we are requesting a rate change of -5.0%. Our request is based upon the attached exhibits prepared by our Chief Actuary, John E. Daniel, FCAS, MAAA, whose Actuarial Memorandum is also enclosed to provide a more detailed explanation with respect to the materials being filed.

In addition, we are submitting the following:

- Two copies of the Cover Letter and a postage paid self-addressed return envelope for the return of one copy of the Cover Letter with your stamp of approval
- For your advisement, we are including a copy of the currently approved PICA Podiatric Rating Manual Ed. 1-05 and fillinois supplement. All changes have been effected via the Illinois Rating Manual Supplement. The currently approved Illinois supplement is Ed. 1-07 (effective 2/1/2007).
- We affirm that the Company does not unfairly discriminate in offering, administering, or applying the filed rating manual and/or any amended provisions. Certification by a company officer is included.
- The Illinois Dual Certification as required.
- Two copies of Illinois Form RF-3 as required.
- Proposed 2007 Rates for each Illinois Territory
- 2006 Rates for each Illinois Territory with all revisions marked
- A completed Illinois Review Requirements Checklist

Page -2-Ms Gayle Neuman Illinois Department of Insurance February 9, 2007

Please do not hesitate to confact me if you need any additional information. Your review and consideration is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Brenda G, Crawford Regulatory Affairs Coordinator 1-800-251-5727 Ext. 2150

Enclosures

### ILLINOIS CERTIFICATION FOR MEDICAL MALPRACTICE RATES

(215 ILCS 5/155.18)(3) states that medical fiability rates shall be certified in such filing of an officer of the company and a qualified actuary that the company's rates are based on sound actuarial principles and are not inconsistent with the company's experience

Podratry fusurance Company of America a Mulual Company
ann authorized to certify on behalf of the Company making this filing that the company's rate of hased on sound actuarial principles and are not inconsistent with the company's experience, cost that I am knowledgeable of the laws, regulations and bulletins applicable to the policy rates too' are the subject of this filing.
1. John F. Daniel, FCAS, MAAA ——, a duly authorized action Pediatry Insurance Company of America a Munial Company ————————————————————————————————————
Janet C. Fox : Assistant Secretary Signature and Title of Authorized Insurance Company Officer Date
folm I. Daniel, FCAS, MAAA Signature, Title and Designation of Authorized Actuary  1566  157  158  158  158  158  158  158  158
Insurance Company I-DIN 58 <u>- 14</u> 03235 Filing Number <u>11 788-P</u>
Insurer's Address110 Westwood Place
City Brentwood State IN Zip Code 3701
Contact Person's: -Name and E-mailBre <u>nda G-Crawfordberawford #</u> picagroup com
-Direct Telephone and Fax Number1-800-251-5727 x_2150 _Fax: 615-370-4803 _

#### CERTIFICATION

Ι,	Janet C. Fox	, a duly authoriz	ed officer of
	Podiatry Insurance C	ompany of America a Muti	ual Company,
am authori	zed to certify on behalf o	f the Company making this	filing that the company
		fering or administering this	
	h,		
Janet C. Fo	or. Assistant Secretary		
Date			
Insurance (	Jompany FEIN <u>58 -</u> 14 <u>0323</u>	5 Filing Number	<u>IL-758-P</u>
Insurer's A	ddress <u>110</u> We	stwood Place	
City	_Brentwood	StateFN	Zip Code <u>3702</u> 7
Contact Per -Name and		Brenda G. Crawford berawl	ord@picagroup.com
-Direct Tel	ophone and Fax Number	1-800-25 <u>1-5727</u> x. <u>2150</u>	Fax: 615-370-4803

H LINOIS FORM RF-3 50 III Adm Code 75   Exhibit A Summary sheet (For § 754 Exhibit A Summary sheet (Form RF-3)	orm (RF-3)	
SUMMARY SHEFT		
Change in Company's premium or rate level produce	ad by rate revision effective	
(1)	(2) Anneal Premium	(3) Percent
Coverage	Volume (Illinois)4	Change (+ ot -)**
1. Automobile Liability Private Passenger Commercial 2. Automobile Physical Damage Private Passenger Commercial 3. Liability Other Than Auto 4. Burglary and Theft 5. Class 6. Fidelity 7. Surety 8. Boiler and Machinery 9. Fire 10. Extended Coverage 11. Inland Marine 12. Homeowners 13. Commercial Multi-Peril 14. Crop Hail 15. Worker's Compensation 16. Other Marine 14 Mathreagues	\$6,521,838 (2005 Direct Wrilton Pre	mium] -5%
Line of Insurance  Does filing only apply to certain territory (territoric tilling)s and Podiatric rates only	es) or certain classes? If so, specify:	This filling applies to all I critories in
Brief description of filing (If filing follows rates o requesting a -5% decrease in Podiatric Professional I		organization) <u>T</u> his <u>is a revis</u> ed age thage
*Admisted to reflect all prior rate changes.  **Change in Company's premium level which will	result from application of new rates	5.
Name of Company	Podratry Insurance Company of A	<u>merica a Μυτυα</u> ί <u>Com</u> pan <u>y</u>
Official Tirle	John E. Daniel John E. Daniel, FCAS MAAA Chief Acquary	
Authority Implementing Articles VII-A and XXI lugarance Code (III. Rev. Stat. 1989, ch. 73, par. 73 by Section 401(a) of the Illinois Insurance Code (II ch. 73, par. 1013)	3.5A) and authorized	
HISTORY Filed September 9, 1975, effective September 7, Ul. Reg. 3458	tember 30, 1975; codified	
CROSS REFFRENCE 215 II CS 5/401		
DOCUMENT ID: IL REGS RG 50 III. Adm. Code	754 Exhibit A	

#### Podiatry Insurance Company of America 2007 Podiatric Rates Illinois Territory 01 - All counties except Cook

#### Sole Podiatrist

		Claims Made							
Limits		1	st Year	21	nd Year	3rd Year		4th Year	
(0/10 emitted)	ſ	Non-surg.	Surgical	Non-surg.	Surgical	Non-surg.	Surgical	Non-surg <sub>e</sub>	Surgical
100/300	Ţ	\$1,762	s2,565	<u> 12,643</u>	\$3,8 <u>4</u> 8	\$3,745	\$5,451	\$4,406	\$6,41.3
200/600		\$2,115	\$3,078	\$3,172	\$4,618	\$4,4 <del>9</del> 1	\$6,542	\$5,287	\$7,696
250/750	Τ.	\$2,273	\$3,309	\$3,410	\$4,961	\$4,8 <b>31</b>	\$7,032	\$5,684	58,273
500/1000	٦.	\$2,467	\$3,591	\$3,701	\$5,387	\$5,243	\$7,631	<b>\$6,168</b>	\$8,978
500/1500		\$2,538	\$3,694	\$3,807	\$5,5 <u>41</u>	\$5,393	\$7,850	\$6,344	\$9,235
1000/1000		\$2 <u>,732</u>	\$3,976	\$4,097	\$5,964	s5, <u>804</u>	\$8,449	\$6,829	\$9,940
1000/3000	I	\$2,996	54,361	\$1,494	\$6,541	\$6,366	\$9,267	\$7,490	\$10,902

#### Podiatry Insurance Company of America 2007 Podiatric Rates Illinois Territory 02 - Cook county only

#### Sole Podiatrist

	Claims Made							
Limits	1	st Year	2nd Year		3rd Year		4th Year	
(800 amitted)	Non-surg.	Surgical	Non-surg.	Surgical	Non-surg.	Surgical	Non-surg.	Surgical
100/300	\$2,777	\$4,042	\$4,1 <u>6</u> 5	\$6,063	\$ <b>5,9</b> 01	\$8,589	\$6,942	\$10,105
200/600	53,332	\$4,850	14,998	\$7,276	<u> \$7,081</u>	\$10,307	S8,331	\$12,126
250/750	\$3,582	\$5,214	\$5,373	\$7,821	\$7,612	\$11,080	\$8,955	\$13,035
500/1000	\$3,888	\$5,659	\$5,831	\$8,488	\$8,261	\$12,025	\$9,719	\$14,147
500/1500	†3,9 <u>99</u>	\$5,820	\$5 <b>,998</b>	187,8\$	\$8,497	\$12,368	\$9,997	\$14,551
1000/1000	\$4,304	\$6,265	\$6,456	\$9,398	\$9,146	\$13,314	\$10,760	\$15,663
1000/3000	\$1,721	\$6,872	\$7,081	\$10,307	\$10,032	\$14,602	≤11,802	\$17,179



### ACTUARIAL MEMORANDUM PODIATRY INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA (PICA) 2007 ILLINOIS PODIATRIC RATE INDICATION

Enclosed are exhibits to support PICA's proposed statewide average podiatric rate change of –5.00% (Table 1, Line 20) in Illinois. The point estimate indicated rate change is –4.84% (Table 1, Line 19). The selected rate change reflects PICA management's consideration of underwriting, legislative, and marketing issues.

It is my belief that the attached Tables and Exhibits indicate that the proposed rate change is not inadequate, excessive, or unfairly discriminatory. If you have any questions concerning these Tables and Exhibits, please call me at (615) 984-2030 or email me at jdaniel@picagroup.com.

Sincerely,

John E. Daniel
John E. Daniel, FCAS, MAAA
Chief Actuary
The PICA Group

Table1

#### INDICATED RATE CHANGE FOR 2007

		Percentage
		of Written
	Expense Item	Premium
(1)	General Administrative Expense	17,52%
(2)	Profit and Contingencies	5 00%
(3)	Invastment Income	-5 92%
(4)	Taxes, Liberses, and Fees	0.50%
(6)	Total	17 10%
(6)	Target Loss & LAE Ratio	82 90%
(7)	Loss - ALAC	45,81%
(B)	ULAE	5,76%
(0)	Death, Disability, and Retirement Loading	5,00%
(10)	Total Loss & LAE	56 57%
(11)	Indicated Rate Change	-31 76%
(12)	Annual Loss Cost frend Factor	1 046
(13)	Beginning of Trend Period	4/1/2006
(14)	Ending of Trend Period	4/1/2008
(15)		2,00
(16)	Trend Factor Since Last Filing	1.094
(17)	Complement of Credibility for Rate Change	9 40%
(18)	Credibility Factor	34,60%
(19)	Credibility Weighted Indicated Rate Change	-4.84%
(20)	Selected Rate Change	-5 00%

Notes	·
(1)	From Section B of Appendix, Exhibit 1
(2)	Selected by PICA management
(3)	Item (10) from Appendix Exhibit 2
(4)	and the second s
(5)	= Sum of (1) through (4)
(6)	= 100 00% - (6)
	Item (10) from Table 5
	From Section B of Appendix, Exhibit 1
	Weighlad Average of Column (9) of Appendix Exhibit 3
	= Sum of (7) through (9)
	= (10) / (6) -100,00%
	Item (13) from Appendix, Exhibit 7
(13)	Effective date of most recent filing
(14)	One year beyond requested effective date of this filing
	= (12) ^ (15)
	= (16) - 100 00%
	Rem (4) from Appendix, Exhibit 4
	= (11) * (18) + (17) * [100 00% -(18)]
(20)	Selected by PICA management

Table 2

#### ESTIMATION OF STATE ULTIMATE INCURRED LOSSES & A LAE USING LOSS DEVELOPMENT METHODS

#### A. PAID LOSS DEVELOPMEN TIMETHOD

		Paid	
	Tolal Paid	Loss FALAE	Estimated
Report	Loss and ALAE	Development	Ultimate
Year	as of 12/31/2005_	Factor	Loss + ALAE
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
2001	187,742	1.049	196,941
2002	1,149,753	1.117	1,284,274
2003	383,878	1,327	509,406
2004	183,895	2,148	395,006
2005	43,174	10,033	433,165
	1.948.442		2 818 792

#### B. REPORTED LOSS DEVELOPMENT METHOD

		Reported	
	Total Reported	Loss+ALAE	Estimated
Report	Loss and ALAE	Development	Ultimate
Year	as of 12/31/2005	Factor	Loss + ALAE
(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
2001	292,742	1.000	292,742
2002	1,627,525	1 012	1,647,055
2003	2,161,152	1.034	2,234,631
2004	1,295,037	1.083	1,402,525
2005	1,138,746	1.542	1,755,946
	6,515,202		7,332,899

Notes.	
(2)	Direct Paid Losses for all Illinois podiatrists insured by PICA
) (3)	Cumulative factors from Appendix, Exhibit 5.
(4)	= (2) * (3)
(6)	Direct Reported Losses for all Illinois podiatrists insured by PICA
(7)	Comulative factors from Appendix, Exhibit 6
(8)	= (6) * (7)

Table 3

#### ESTIMATION OF STATE ULTIMATE INCURRED LOSSES & ALAE USING BORNHUETTER-FERGUSON METHODS

#### A INITIAL EXPECTED LOSSES AND ALAE

		Initial	Initial
	Direct	Expedied	Expented
Report	Eamed	Loss+ALAE	Ultimato
Yoai	Premun	Ratin	Loss + ALAF.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
2001	1,428,567	83 20%	930,968
2002	1,456,196	82 10%	1,196,537
2003	3,636,002	75.10%	2,730,638
2004	5,105,575	70.20%	3,584,114
2005	6,054,240	67 30%	<u>4,074.504</u>
	17,380,580		12,523 761

#### B PAID BORNHUETTER-FERGUSON METHOD

	unitian	Pald	Expedied			
	Expected	Loss+ALAE	Percent of	Expedied	Paid	Estimated
Report	Ultimate	Development	Lose (Al AF	Lass+ALAE	Loss+ALAE	Ultimate
Year	Loss+ALAF	Factor	_Uapáld	Unpa <u>id</u>	as of 12/31/05	Loss+ALAE
(5)	(B)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
2001	яяи,968	1 049	4.67%	43,860	187,742	231,592
2002	1 195,537	1.117	10 47%	125,173	1.149,753	1,274,926
2003	2,730,038	1 327	24 64%	672,829	300,878	1,056 707
2004	3,584,114	2 148	53 45%	1,915,709	183,895	2,099.684
2005	4,074, <u>504</u>	10,033	90 03%	3,GBB,276	43,174	3,711, <u>450</u>
	12.523.761			6.425.837	1.948.442	8.374.279

#### G REPORTED BORNHUETTER-FERGUSON METHOD

	Inihal	Reported	Expected			
	Expedied	Loss+ALAE	Percent of	Expected	Reported	Estimated
Report	Ultimate	Davelopment	Loss+ALAE	Loss±Al.Ala	Loss + ALAE	Ultimate
Yoar	Loss+ALAE	Factor	Unreported	Unreported	as of 1 <u>2/31/05</u>	Loss / M.AF
(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)
2001	938,968	1 000	0.00%	0	292,742	292,742
2002	1,195,537	1 012	1 19%	14,227	1,627,525	1,641,752
2003	2,730,630	1.034	3,29%	89.838	2,101.152	2,250,990
2004	3,584,114	1.083	7 66%	274,643	1,295,037	1,569,580
2005	4,074.504	1 542	35,15%	1,432,188	1,138,746	2,570,034
	12.523.761			1.810.796	6,515,202	8,325,998

Notes.	
(2)	Direct earner) premium for all Minois portiatrists insured by PIGA
(4)	= (2) *(3)
(7)	From Column (3) of Table 2
(8)	= 100 00% - [1DD,00% / (7)]
(9)	= (6) * (8)
(10)	From Column (2) of Table 2
(11)	=(9) ÷ (10)
(14)	From Column (7) of Table 2.
(15)	= 100.00% - [100.00% / (14)]
(16)	= (13) * (16)
(17)	From Column (6) of Table 2,
(18)	= <u>(18)</u> + <u>(17)</u>

Table 4

#### DEVELOPMENT OF INCURRED LOSSES TRENDED TO A 2007 COST LEVEL

#### A. SELECTION OF PICA ESTIMATED INCURRED LOSSES & ALAE

Estimated Ultimate Loss + ALAE Reported Paid Reported Paid Bornhuetter-Bornhuetter-Loss โกรล Ferguson Ferguson Report Development Development Selected Year Method Method Method Method (1) (3) (4) (5) (6) (2)2001 196,941 292,742 231,592 292,742 253,504 2002 1,284,274 1,647,055 1,274,926 1,641,752 1,462,002 2,250,090 1,512,934 2003 509,406 2,234,631 1.056,707 1,402,525 2,099,604 1,569,580 1,834.592 2004 395,006 3,141,192 2,570,934 2005 433,165 1,755,946 3,711,450 2,818,792 7,332,899 8,374,279 8,325,998 8,204,224

#### B. TRENDING OF PICA ESTIMATED ULTIMATE INCURRED LOSSES & ALAE

	Scleeted		Trended
	Estimated	4.62%	Estimated
Report	Ultimate	Loss + ALAE	Ultimate
Year	Loss+ALAE	Trend Factor	Loss+ALAF
(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
2001	253 504	1 342	340,202
2002	1,462,002	1,283	1,875,749
2003	1,512,934	1.226	1,854,857
2004	1,834,592	1,172	2,150,142
2005	3,141,192	1 120	3,518,135
	8.204.224		9.739.085

Notes		
	(2)	From Column (4) of Section A of Table 2.
	(3)	From Column (8) of Section B of Table 2.
	(4)	From Column (11) of Section B of Table 3
ĺ		From Column (18) of Section C of Table 3.
	(6)	Equal to the average of all four methods for 2001
		Equal to the average of all four methods for 2002
		Equal to the average of all four methods for 2003
		Equal to the average of the Bornhuotter-Ferguson methods for 2004
		Equal to the average of the Bornhuetter-Ferguson methods for 2005
	(9)	Based on Item (13) from Appendix, Exhibit 7
	10)	$\pi$ (8) * (9)

Table 5

#### SELECTED STATE ON-LEVEL LOSS AND ALAE RATIO

Report	Direct Earned	Premium	On-Level Direct Earned	Trended Estimated	On-Level
		Adjustment		Ultimate	Loss + ALAE
Year	<u>Premium</u>	<u> Factor</u>	Premium	Loss+ALAE	Ratio
(1)	(2)	(3)	{4}	(5)	(6)
2001	1,128,567	1.752	1,977,249	340,202	17.21%
2002	1,456,196	1 642	2,391.074	1,875,749	78,45%
2003	3,636,002	1.436	5,221,299	1,854,857	35 52%
2004	5,105,575	1,229	6,274,752	2,150,142	34 27%
2005	6,054,240	1.095	6,629,393	3,518,135	53,07%
	17,380,580		22,493,767	9,739,085	43,30%
			(7)	5 Yr Wtd Avg ≃	43 30%
			(8)	4 Yr Wld Avg =	45.81%
			(9)	3 Yr Wtd Avg =	45.81%
			(10)	Selected =	45 81%

N	n	fø	۵.
IN	43	rice.	7.

- (2) From Column (2) of Section A of Table 3.(3) From Column (6) of Appendix, Exhibit 8.

- (4) = (2) \* (3) (5) From Column (10) of Section B of Table 4.
- (6) = (5) / (4)

Appendix, Exhibit I

# DERIVATION OF COUNTRY WIDE EXPENSE LOADINGS

## A. DOLLARS OF EXPENSE

				Selected	Expanse	Loadings	17 52%	5.76%
Total	43,953,361	15,449,642	209,289,173			Total	23 87%	7.38%
2005	10,515 632	3,939,008	59,953,904			2005	17.54%	5.57%
2004	12,310,795	3,644,651	50,941,950			2004	24 17%	7.15%
2003	9 504,460	2,702 319	42 120,745			2003	22.55%	5 42%
2002	11,429,557	2 858 462	31,277,508			2002	35 54%	9.14%
2001	6,197,817	2,305 172	24,995,066			2001	24.80%	9.25%
	(1) General Administrative Expense	(2) ULAE	(3) Direct Earned Premium		B. EXPENSE LOADING		(4) General Administrative Expense	(a) ULAE

Notes.	
(E)	From PICA's Annual Statements
(2)	From PICA's Annual Statements.
ල.	From PICA's Annual Statements
<del>(</del> 1)	= (1)/(3)
(S)	= (2)/(3)

Appendix, Exhibit 2

#### ESTIMATION OF INVESTMENT INCOME

(1) Discount Rate =	50%			
				Discounted
	Paid	Cumulative	Incremental	Incremental
	Loss + ALAE	Percent	Percent	Percent
Year of	Development	of Losses	of Lasses	of Losses
Payment	Factor	Paid	Paid	Paid
(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	10.033	9,97%	9.97%	9,73%
2	2.148	46 55%	36 58%	34.00%
3	1 327	75.36%	28 81%	25.50%
4	1.117	89,53%	14.17%	11,95%
5	1.049	95,33%	5,80%	4,66%
6	1.006	99,40%	4.07%	3 11%
7	1.000	100 00%	0.60%	0.44%
8	1 000	100 00%	0.00%	0.00%
9	1 000	100.00%	0.00%	0,00%
			100,00%	89,39%
(7)	Investment Incon	ne as Percent of Lo	osses =	10 61%
(8)	Expected Loss R	atio =		55 80%
(9)	Investment Incon	no as Percent of Pr	emium =	5.92%
(10)	Investment Incon	ne Offset =		-5. <del>9</del> 2%

Notes	
(3	3) Cumulative factors from Appendix, Exhibit 5
(4	4) = 100,00% / (3)
(6	5) = [(4) - (4) for prior year]
(1	$(5) = (5) / \{[100.00\% + (1)] \land (2 - 0.5)\}$
(7	7) = Total (5) - Total (6)
(8	<ol> <li>From Column (4) of Appendix, Exhibit 9.</li> </ol>
(9	$\Theta$ = $(7)^+(\theta)$
(10	= Additive Inverse of (9)

# PODIATRY INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA Medical Professional Liability Appendix, Exhibit 3

# DERIVATION OF ILLINOIS DEATH, DISABILITY, AND RETIREMENT LOADING

Discounted Expected DD&R													5.0%
Discount	Factor From Age 85	(8)	3,7%	%9€	12 3%	15.7%	20.0%	25.5%	32.5%	41.6%	53.0%	614%	
Average	Years to Age 85	(£)	50	43	43	33	33	28	23	13	13	<del>C</del>	
Expected DD&R	Loss and ALAE Ratio	(g)	30.7%	30 7%	30.7%	30 7%	30.7%	30.7%	30.7%	30.7%	30.7%	30 7%	
	Modification Factor	ı											
Projected Elinois													
Parcaniage	of Total Insurads	(E)	17.0%	18.5%	17.1%	15 4%	14.9%	81.6	4.7%	1.5%	0.8%	1.0%	100 0%
Countrywide	Inscred	(2)	1,218	1,325	1,225	1,103	1,067	552	337	107	57	71	7,162
	Current Age	€	<35	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	95-69	70-74	>75	

Notes	
(4)	From Column (4) of Appendix Exhibit 9.
<u>(2</u>	Expected relationship of tail experience to non-tail experience.
<u>(f)</u>	$= (4) \times (5).$
<u>@</u>	$= 1.00 / \{ \{1.00 \div (10)\}^{A}(7) \}.$
6	$=(6) \times (3)$ .
GE	Investment yield expected to prevail over the life of the payouts

5 0%

Discount Rate =

<u>(1</u>

Appendix, Exhibit 4

#### DERIVATION OF CREDIBILITY FACTOR

Report	Reported
Year	Claims
(1)	(2)
2001	ន
2002	20
2003	36
2004	28
2005	28
	120
(3) Full Credibility.	1000
(4) Gredibility Factor.	34 6%
Notes:	
$(4) \approx \{ [Total (2)] / (3) \} ^ 0.5$	2

### PODIATRY INSURANCE COMPANY DE AMERICA PODIATRIC MEDICAL MALPRACTICE Apperox, Exhibit 5

# DERIVATION OF COUNTRY WIDE PAID LOSS DEVELOPMENT FACTORS PODIATRIST PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY (Unifinited Paid Losses Pius ALAE)

	Age									
Teay Year	12	24	35	43	50	72	\$	98	103	120
1937										7,240,132
1938									5,573,375	5,535,243
1959								5,873,999	5,674 131	5.874,131
1590							7,673,455	7,743,839	7,842.797	7.855,82B
1987						5,999,340	6,473,252	0,485.718	9,503,241	9,574,650
1992					10,684,320	10,810,876	10,553,317	10,901 380	10,020 473	10,513.810
1953				10,693,077	12,673,791	14,013,873	15,107,065	15,153,042	15,158,937	15,353,787
1984			3,113,821	9,348,786	11 592,703	11,795.625	11,937,330	12,315,843	12,359,e03	12,356,902,
1990		3,730,416	5,011,132	11,684.028	12.041,410	12,741,485	12,848,430	12,355,493	13,217,337	13,217,887
1996	570,560	3,450,232	7,008,744	8,227,111	9.528,419	10,913,270	12,718,998	12,728,515	12,738,315	12,739,542
1997	336.537	2,452,555	6,253,787	50,103,213	12,469,729	12,716,063	12.817,737	12,823,309	12,831,279	
1398	523,33)	4,433,050	3,378,595	12,410,916	13,847,271	15.358,743	15,391 209	15,394,574		
1999	762,180	9 135,269	10,498,574	14 970,372	15,790,240	15,241,736	16,381,511			
2000	1,528,254	9,898,465	14 838,835	17,826,342	19,279,592	19,528,442				
2001	1,449,065	3,381,341	13,075,670	15,530,272	16,359,842					_
2002	1,925,525	11,556,546	19,163,332	22,363,463						-
2003	2,346,037	13,627,173	22,179,937							
2004	3,759.574	12,289,0±3								
2003	2,142,116									

### PODIATRY INSURANCE COMPANY DF AMERICA PODIATRIC MEDICAL MALPACTICE Apperdix, Exhibit®

# DERIVATION OF COUNTRY WIDE REPORTED LOSS DEVELOPMENT FACTORS PODIATRIST PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY (Unlimited Reported Losess Plus ALAE)

	120	35	90	47	38	97	5		엉	<u>[]</u>	27 27		-	_				_		
		7,340,235	5.707,150	5,684,342	8,581 838	9,575,797	10,318,310	15,363,787	12.858,902	13,217,B37	12,739 542									
	103		5,785,013	5,334,342	5,231,525	9,613,353	10,921703	15,352,630	12,359,913	13,217,337	12,759,391	12,831,279								
	88			5,715,735	3,470,663	9,534,773	11 051,802	15,293,767	13,081,435	12,331,445	12,817,793	12,823,309	15,394,374							
	84				3,409,755	720 776,E	11,057,873	. 6,359,640	12,967,245	12,957,311	12,836,534	13 022,255	. 602,108,8	16,581,999						
	7.2					10,366,223	13 231,159	15.683,382	12,604.376	13,215,332	1,559,633	13,236,438 1	15,654,774	13,683,689	19,802,525					
	CE					•	11,400,733	15,117,610	12,363,201	13,115,289	11 753,767	13,037,343	15,623,945	15,719,344	19,756,247	17 136,378				
	43						_	5,915,643	12,590,366	3.314,933	12,055.836 1	12,888,485 1	13,374,650,1	16,535,299 1	19,801,093	16,582,462 1	25,843,553			
	36							-	11,986,289	13,321,847	2,313,451	2,261,365 1	13.892,162 1	5.771,375 1	18,145,533	16,193,309 1	26,101,059 2	30,371,593		
	24								•	10,337,765	14,331,100 1	12.943,231	14,734,536 1	8,945,374	18,055,728 1	12,969,325 1	24,138.994 2	27 453,433 3	25,981,895	
	12									•	7,762,424 1	8,242,792,1	12,474,059 1	12.224,530	13,557,841	0,681,243 1	17,427,039 2	16,404,345 2	13 7771,532 2	17,610,331
Age	reaty Year	1587	1328	1989	1990	:69:	1992	1393	1394	1995	1996	1997	1998, 12	1999 12	2000 13	2001	2002 17	2003 16	2004 13	2005 1
	Treaty			_	_				_	_				_					_	

1 002	0 958	66.0	1,000	1 000	96 to Ult 138 to UP	1 000
1.000	1 002	1 305	1000	1,000	96 to UR	0000
0 995	0,995	0.595	1,900	000'1	84 to U.I	1,000
1 009	1 003	06ê û	1 000	1000	72 to U.P.	00 
0.999	C 398	0.996	1.000	1 900	30 to Ut	1000
1 014	1 033	1.012	1 033	1012	48 to UII	1.012
1.622	1 029	1031	(Avarage Hig 0.000	1 022	12 to Ult 24 to Ult 33 to Ult 48 to Ult 50 to Ult 72 to Ult 84 to Ult	1.034
of Latest 7 1 025	of Latest 5 1 047	of Lates(3 1.137	of Latest 7 1 025	1.947	24 to U!t	1.083
ted Average (	ted Average of 1,424	ted Average o I 475	e of Allddle 5   409	1,=24	12 to UIt	1 542
Volume Weighted Average of Latest 7   409   102:	Volumo Weighted Average of Latest 5 1,424 1947	Volume Weighted Average of Latest 3	Simple Average of Middle's of Latest 7 (Average High-Low) 1 409 1025 0.000	Salectad	Cumalativ≘	

Appendix, Exhibit 7

#### COUNTRYWIDE LOSS TREND ANALYSIS FOR PICA PODIATRISTS

#### A. Severity Trend Analysis

Report	Years of	Selected Ultimate		Average	
			01-i 01		7'
Year	Trend	<u>Loss and ALAE</u>	Claim Cou <u>nt</u>	Soverity	<u>T'rend</u> .
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1996	1	12,739,642	206	61,843	
1997	2	12,831,279	175	73,322	
1998	3	15,394,674	184	83,667	
1999	4	16,561,999	219	75,626	
2000	5	19,802,425	232	85,355	
2001	6	17,211,800	263	65,444	
2002	7	25,689,558	355	72,365	
2003	8	30,860,985	361	85,487	
2004	9	29,421,012	379	77,628	
2005	10	32,472,396	367	88,481	
		212 985,770	2,741	77,704	6.98%

#### B. Frequency Trend Analysis

Report Year	Years of Trend	Insured Count as of 12/31/2005	Claim Count as of 12/31/2005	Average Frequency	Trend
(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1996	1	3,173	206	6 4923	
1997	2	3,337	175	5.2442	
1998	3	3 501	184	5.2556	
1999	4	3,983	219	5,4984	
2000	5	4,875	232	4.7590	
2001	В	5,043	263	5 2151	
2002	7	6,319	355	5,6180	
2003	8	7,205	361	5,0104	
2004	9	7,162	379	5.2918	
2005	10	7,637	367	4 8056	
		52 235	2.741		-2 21%

0 (13) Selected: 4 62%

Notes,

- (3) Expected Ultimate incurred Loss for all podiatrists insured by The PICA Group
- (4) Represents experience of all Podiatrists insured by PICA
- (5) = (3) / (4)
- (6) From exponential line of best fit through (5)
- (11) = (10) / (9) \* 100
- (12) From exponential line of best fit through (11)
- $(13) = [(100.00\% + (6)] \times [(100.00\% + (12)] 100.00\%$

Appendix, Exhibit 8

#### PREMIUM ADJUSTMENT FACTOR

			Cumulative	Average	
		Rate	Rat <del>e</del>	Earned	Premium
Report	Rafe	Change	Change	Premium	Adjustment
Year	Change	Factor	Factor	Factor	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
2000	3 00%	1.0300	1,0300	1,0300	1.7782
2001	3.00%	1.0300	1,0609	1 0455	1 7518
2002	10,30%	1,1030	1 1702	1.1156	1.6417
2003	18,00%	1.1800	1.3808	1.2755	1.4359
2004	15 90%	1.1590	1.6003	1,4906	1,2287
2005	9.00%	1,0900	1,7443	1 6723	1 0952
2006	5,00%	1.0500	1 8315	1 7879	1.0244
2007			1 8315	1.8315	1,0000

#### Notes:

- (3) = 1.0000 + (2).
- (4) Product of (3) for all current and prior Report Years
- (5) Average of (4) for current year and first prior year. Assumes uniform distribution of renewal dates.
- (6) = (5) for 2007 I (5).

Appendix, Exhibit 9

#### DERIVATION OF CREDIBILITY WEIGHTED LOSS RATIO

			Minois
			Credibility
finois	Country Wide		Weighted
Expected	Expected	Credibility	Expected
Loss Ratio	Loss Ratio	Factor	Loss Ratio
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
45 8%	61.1%	34.6%	55.8%
Expected Loss Ratio (1)	Expected Loss Ratio (2)	Factor (3)	Expected Loss Ratio (4)

Notes		
		From Table 5.
	(2)	Expected Total Loss Related Ratio for all
}		podiatrists insured by The PICA Group
	(3)	From Appendix, Exhibit 4
	(4)	= (3) * (1) * [1.00 - (3)] * (2)

Appendix, Exhibit 10

#### ESTIMATION OF COUNTRY WIDE ULTIMATE INCURRED LOSSES USING LOSS DEVELOPMENT METHODS

#### A. PAID LOSS DEVELOPMEN T METHOD

		Paid	
	Total Paid	Loss + ALAE	Estimated
Report	Loss and ALAE	Development	Ultimate
Year	as of 12/31/2005	Factor	Loss+Al_AE
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
2001	16,399,842	1.049	17,203,434
2002	22,563,463	1.117	25,203,388
2003	22,179,937	1.327	29,432,776
2004	12,289,048	2.148	26,396,875
2005	2,142,116	10.033	21,491.850
	75,574,406		119,728,323

#### B, REPORTED LOSS DEVELOPMENT METHOD

		Reported	
	Total Reported	Loss+ALAE	Estimated
Report	Loss and ALAE	Development	Ultimate
Year	as of 12/31/2005	Factor	Loss+ALAE
(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
2001	17,136,378	1 000	17,136,378
2002	25,843,553	1.012	26,153,676
2003	30,971,593	1.034	32,024,627
2004	25,981,895	1 083	28,138,392
2005	17,610,631	1.542	27,155,593
	117.544.050		130,608,666

#### Notes

- (2) Direct Paid Losses & ALAE for all Podiatrists Insured by PICA
- (3) Cumulative factors from Appendix, Exhibit 5
- (4) = (2) \* (3)
- (6) Direct Reported Losses & ALAE for all podiatrists insured by PICA
- (7) Cumulative factors from Appendix, Exhibit 6.
- (8) = (6) \* (7)

Appendix, Exhibit 11

#### ESTIMATION OF UILTIMATE INCURRED LOSSES USING BORNHURTTER-PERGUSON METHODS

#### A. INITIAL EXPECTED LOSSES AND ALAE

		Initial	Initial
	Direct	Expected	Expected
Report	Earned	Loss I Al AE	Ultimate
Year	<u>Premium</u>	Ratio	_Loss∸ALAE
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
2001	24,995,686	83,20%	20,795.895
2002	31,277,508	82 10%	25,678,834
2003	42 120,745	75 10%	31,632,679
2004	50,941,950	70 20%	35,761,249
2005	59,953,904	67 30%	40,348,977
	209,289,173		154,217,634

#### B. PAID BORNHUETTER-FERGUSON METHODS

	linitial	Paid	Expected			
	Experted	Loss ∸ ALAE	Percent of	Expected	Paid	Estimated
Repmt	Ultimate	Development	Loss / ALAF	Loss#Al Ala	Loss / ALAE	Ultimate
Year	Loss + ALAE	Factor	Unpaid	Unpaid	as of 12/31/05	Loss + ALAE
(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	{10}	(11)
2601	20,795,895	1 (74.9	4 G7%	971 168	16,399.842	17,371,010
2002	28,678,834	1.117	10.47%	2,688.574	22,563,463	25,252,037
2003	41,632,67 <b>9</b>	1,327	24,64%	7,794,292	22,179,937	20,074,229
2004	35,761,249	2 148	53,45%	19,114,388	12,289,048	31,403 436
2005	40.348,977	10.033	90.03%	36,326,184	2,142,116	38,468,300
	154 217,634			66.894.606	75,574,405	142 469 012

#### C. REPORTED BORNHUETTER-PERGUSON METHODS

	hetlal	Reported	Expected			
	Expected	Loss I Al AE	Percent of	Expected	Reported	is stlorated
Report	Uitimate	Development	Loss - ALAE	Loss + ALAE	Loss + ALAE	Ultimate
Year	Losa + ALAF	Factor	<u>Unreported</u>	Unterpreted	as of 12/31/05	Less⊬ALAF
(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)
2001	20,795,895	1 000	0,00%	· O	17,136,378	17,136.378
2002	25,678,834	1 012	1.18%	306,57B	25,040,553	26 149,131
2003	31,632,679	1 034	3.29%	1,040,716	30,971,593	32,012,308
2004	35,761,249	1,083	7 GG%	2,730,312	25,081,895	20,721,207
2005	40,348,977	1 542	35 15%	14_[82,665	<u>17,610,0</u> 31	31,790,296
	154 217,634			18,268,270	117,544,050	135,812,320

Notes:	
(2)	Direct carned premium for all padiatrists insured by PICA
(4)	- (2) * (3)
1 (7)	From Column (3) of Section A of Appendix, Exhibit 10.
(0)	= 100 00% - [100.00% / (7)]
(9)	= (6) * (8)
(10)	From Column (2) of Section A of Appendix, Exhibit 10.
(11)	=(0) + (10)
(14)	From Column (7) of Section A of Appendix, Exhibit 10,
(15)	= 100 00% - [100.00% / (14)]
(16)	= (13) * (15)
(17)	From Column (6) of Section A of Appendix, Exhibit 10
(18)	= (16) + (17)

Appendix, Exhibit 12

#### DEVELOPMENT OF COUNTRYWIDE INCURRED LOSSES & ALAE TRENDED TO A 2007 COST LEVEL

#### A. SELECTION OF PICA ESTIMATED INCURRED LOSSES

		Estimated Ultimate Loss + ALAE				
	Paid	Reported	Paid	Reported	`	
	Loss	Loss	Rombaetter-	Bornhuetter-		
Report	Development	Development	Ferguson	Ferguson		
Year	Method	Method	Method	Method	Selectori	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
2001	17,203,434	17,136,378	17,371,010	17,136,378	17,211,800	
2002	25,203,388	26,153,676	25,252,037	26,149,131	25,689,558	
2003	29,432,776	32,024,627	29,974,229	32,012,308	30,860,985	
2004	26,396,875	28,138,392	31,403,436	28,721.207	29,421,012	
2005	21,491,850	27,155,593	38,468,300	31,793,296	32,472,396	
	119,728,323	130,608,666	142,469,012	135.812.320	135,655,751	

#### B. TRENDING OF PICA INCURRED LOSSES

	Selected		7 rended
	Estimated	4.62%	Estimated
Report	Ultimate	Loss + ALAE	Ultimate
Year	Loss+ALAE	Trend Factor	Loss#ALAE
(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
2001	17,211,800	1.342	23,098,236
2002	25,689,558	1 283	32,959,703
2003	30,860,985	1,226	37,835,568
2004	29,421,012	1.172	34,481,426
2005	32,472,396	1,120	36,369,084
	135,655,751		164,744,017

Notes	
(2)	From Section A of Appendix, Exhibit 10.
(3)	From Section B of Appendix, Exhibit 10.
(4)	From Section B of Appendix, Exhibit 11.
(5)	From Section C of Appendix, Exhibit 11.
(fi)	Equal to the average of all four methods for 2001
	Equal to the average of all four methods for 2002
	Equal to the average of all four methods for 2003
	Equal to the average of all methods except Paid Loss Development for 2004-2005.
	Paid Loss Development Method appears to be biased low
(9)	Based on Item (13) from Appendix, Exhibit 7
(10)	= (8) + (9)

Appendix, Exhibit 13

#### SELECTED COUNTRYWIDE ON-LEVEL LOSS & ALAE RATIO

			On-Level	Trended	
	Direct	Premium	Direct	Estimated	On-Level
Report	Earned	Adjustment	Earned	Ultimate	Loss + ALAE
Year	Premium	Factor	Premium	Loss + ALAE	Ratio
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
2001	24,995,066	1.638	40,941,918	23.098,236	56 42%
2002	31,277,508	1 536	48,042,252	32,959,703	68.61%
2003	42,120,745	1.336	56,273,315	37,835,568	67,24%
2004	50,941,950	1.149	58,532,301	34,481,426	58 91%
2005	59,953,904	1.053	_63,131,461	36,369,084	57 61%
	209,289,173		266,921,247	161,744,017	61 72%
				5 Yr Wtd Avg =	61,72%
				4 Yr Wtd Avg =	62 68%
				3 Yr Wtd Avg =	61.08%
				Selected =	G1 D8%
				22/00/00	12   24 /2

#### Notes.

- (2) From Column (2) of Section A of Appendix, Exhibit 11.
  (3) From Column (6) of Appendix, Exhibit 14.

- (4) = (2) \* (3) (5) From Column (10) of Section B of Appendix, Exhibit 12.
- (6) = (5) / (4)

#### PODIATRY INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA PODIATRIC MEDICAL MALPRACTICE DEVELOPMENT OF COUNTRY MADE

Appendix, Exhibit 14

#### COUNTRYWIDE PREMIUM ADJUSTMENT FACTORS

			Cumulative	Average	
		Rate	Rate	Earned	Premium
Report	Rate	Change	Change	Premium	Adjustment
<u>_Year_</u>	Change	Factor	Factor	Factor	Factor
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
2000	-2 90%	0 9710	0.9710	0 9710	1 6675
2001	3,60%	1.0360	1,0060	0.9885	1 6379
2002	9 50%	1.0950	1.1016	1,0538	1,5364
2003	20 07%	1 2007	1 3227	1 2122	1,3357
2004	13 13%	1.1313	1 4964	1.4096	1.1486
2005	5.56%	1 0556	1.5796	1 5380	1 0527
2006	2 50%	1.0250	1.6191	1,5994	1.0123
2007			1.6191	1,6191	1.0000

#### Notes:

- (3) = 10000 + (2).
- (4) Product of (3) for all current and prior Report Years.
- (5) Average of (4) for current year and first prior year. Assumes uniform distribution of renewal dates.
- (6) = (5) for 2006 / (5).

#### ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE REPORTING COMPANY DEFINED ITEMS

1. FOR ALL REPORTS REQUIRING "BY COUNTY" INFORMATION, THE COMPANY MAY GROUP THE DATA BY POLICY ISSUING COUNTY OR OTHER METHOD THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH ITS RATEMAKING PRACTICES. THE COMPANY MUST IDENTIFY WHICH METHOD IS USED. THE COMPANY MUST USE A CONSISTENT METHOD TO GROUP THE DATA IN ALL "BY COUNTY" REPORTS. DATA GROUPED BY TERRITORY IS UNACCEPTABLE. DESCRIBE ANY CHANGES MADE TO THE WAY IN WHICH THE DATA HAS BEEN GROUPED DURING THE PAST TEN YEARS AND THE IMPACT OF THE CHANGE(S) ON THE REPORTS.

County location is based on policyholder location. There has been no change in this regard during the past ten years.

2. DESCRIBE ANY CHANGE(S) MADE TO RESERVING OR CLAIM PAYMENT PRACTICES IN THE PAST TEN YEARS AND THE IMPACT OF THE CHANGE(S) ON THE REPORTS.

No changes have been made to reserving or claim payment practices in the past ten years.

3. DEFINE CLOSED CLAIM, I.E., IS A CLAIM CLOSED WHEN IT IS ASSIGNED A CLOSED DATE, OR WHEN BOTH INDEMNITY PLUS EXPENSE RESERVES ARE \$0, OR IN SOME OTHER INSTANCE? DESCRIBE ANY CHANGE(S) MADE TO THIS DEFINITION IN THE PAST TEN YEARS AND THE IMPACT OF THE CHANGE(S) ON THE REPORTS.

A claim is defined to be a closed claim when it has been assigned a closed date.

4. EXPLAIN/DEFINE THE CORPORATE POLICIES WRITTEN BY THE COMPANY.

All owners in the entity must be insured with PICA and maintain the same Limits of Liability. There is no additional premium for a "shared" Limit of Liability. A separate Limit of Liability is optional for an additional 5% of the total premium charged to each insured in the corporation or partnership. The maximum charge will be 100% of the mature premium for the corresponding limit of liability and the minimum would be 5% of the professional liability premium being charged.

5. EACH COMPANY SHALL USE THE BASE CLASS AND TERRITORY THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH ITS MOST RECENT RATE FILING. PLEASE DEFINE YOUR COMPANY'S BASE CLASS AND TERRITORY. DESCRIBE ANY CHANGE(S) MADE TO THE BASE CLASS AND/OR TERRITORY IN THE PAST TEN YEARS AND THE IMPACT OF THE CHANGE(S) ON THE REPORTS.

The company writes medical malpractice coverage for podiatrists only. The company has two territories for this class in Illinois. Territory 01-All counties except Cook; Territory 02-Cook County There have been no changes to the base class and territory in the past ten years.

6. DESCRIBE ANY ADJUSTMENT(S) MADE TO EXPOSURES FOR EXTENDED REPORTING ENDORSEMENTS AND THE IMPACT OF THE ADJUSTMENT(S) ON THE REPORTS.

No adjustments have been made to exposures for extended reporting period endorsements. Therefore, there is no impact on the reports.

7. FOR THE MATURITY YEAR AND TAIL FACTORS DISCLOSURE, LIST EACH TAIL FACTOR WITH THE CORRESPONDING MATURITY YEAR IF A DIFFERENT TAIL FACTOR IS USED FOR EACH MATURITY YEAR. IF ANOTHER METHOD IS USED, LIST AND DESCRIBE FACTORS AND METHOD USED.

The percentages in the following Table shall be applied to the mature claimsmade premium (4th year premium) in the year coverage is being purchased.

Years of Prior PICA	Percentage of 4th Year		
<b>Claims-Made Coverage</b>	Claims-Made Premium		
One	100%		
Two	155%		
Three	175%		
Four or More	180%		

8. DEFINE WHAT EXPENSES ARE INCLUDED IN THE EXPENSE FACTOR.

Expenses included in the expense factor are General administrative Expenses and Premium Taxes.

9. LIST AND DEFINE INDIVIDUALLY ANY "OTHER" FACTORS USED IN THE RATE FILING TO ESTABLISH RATES. THIS COULD INCLUDE BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING: PROFIT LOAD, REINSURANCE LOAD, INVESTMENT INCOME, SCHEDULE DEBITS/CREDITS, ETC.

Other factors used in the rate filing to establish rates include a profit/contingency load and an estimate of investment income earned on the premiums before losses are paid.

10. DESCRIBE ANY METHODS AND/OR ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CREATING RESERVE STUDY EXHIBIT A AND WHY THESE ASSUMPTIONS ARE NECESSARY.

There are no assumptions used in creating Reserve Study Exhibit A.



#### RECONCILIATION

In order to reconcile the 1204 data with data contained in the most recent annual statutory financial statement, PICA has drawn entries directly from the annual statement wherever possible. Where data could not be drawn directly from the annual statement, PICA has generated reports from the database accessed for annual statement data using consistent data definitions.

To the best of our knowledge, the data contained in this report are accurate and reconcile reasonably with the most recently filed annual statutory financial statement.

T. Douglas Webb, CPA Chief Financial Officer

John E. Daniel, FCAS, MAAA

Chief Actuary